## HYPOPTERYGIACEAE

Hans (J.D.) Kruijer<sup>1</sup>

## Hypopterygiaceae Mitt., J. Proc. Linn. Soc., Bot., Suppl. 1: 147 (1859).

Type: Hypopterygium Brid.

Dioicous or monoicous, unisexual or partly bisexual. Plants forming loose to dense groups of dendroids or fans, occasionally forming mats, pleurocarpous. Rhizome creeping, sympodially branched, tomentose. Stems horizontal (rarely creeping), ascending or erect, simple or branched and differentiated into stipe and rachis; branches usually lateral, rarely ventral, distant or closely set. Foliation complanate and anisophyllous or partly non-complanate and isophyllous. Leaves in 3, 8 or 11 (rarely more) ranks, but arranged in 2 lateral rows of asymmetrical leaves and a ventral row of smaller symmetrical leaves (amphigastria) in the distal part of the stem or frond, distant or closely set, symmetrical or asymmetrical; apex usually acuminate. Gemmae absent or filiform.

Gametoecia usually lateral, occasionally dorsal or ventral. Calyptra cucullate or mitrate. Capsules subglobose to ovoid-oblong; operculum rostrate. Peristome diplolepideous; exostome teeth 16 (absent from *Catharomnion*); endostome with 16 processes, ciliate or not. Spores subglobose to broadly ellipsoidal, scabrous.

The family consists of seven genera and 21 species with a predominantly Gondwanan distribution. It occurs mainly in humid forests of warm-temperate to tropical areas of the world, and it is most diverse in Indo-Malaysia. Three genera and six species are known with certainty from Australia.

The Hypopterygiaceae have been regarded as comprising two subfamilies: Hypopterygioideae (*Canalohypopterygium, Catharomnion, Dendrocyathophorum, Dendrohypopterygium, Hypopterygium* and *Lopidium*) and Cyathophoroideae (Kindb.) Broth. (*Cyathophorum* and *Cyathophorella*). The former is characterised by gametophytes with branched stems differentiated into a stipe and rachis and by horizontal, ascending or vertical sporophytes. Cyathophoroideae have simple or weakly branched stems and horizontal to descending sporophytes. Some authors treated the Cyathophoroideae as a separate family; others proposed a different classification, and placed genera of the Hypopterygiaceae in the Daltoniaceae or Hookeriaceae. However, according to Kruijer (2002), the Hypopterygiaceae constitute a monophyletic group that is best retained as a separate family nested in the Hookeriales-Leucodontales-Hypnales clade. Thus, there is no need to distinguish subfamilies. In this treatment, the classification and circumscription of the family, its genera and species follow Kruijer (2002).

The name of the family Lophidiaceae Brid. ex Rodway (Rodway, 1914), based on *Lopidium*, is illegitimate because it includes *Hypopterygium*.

Species are very variable in size and habit, and distantly foliate plants often appear rather different to closely foliate individuals of the same species. Branched plants with a loose or distant ramification have a different appearance to those that are branched with numerous and closely set branches; both types of ramification often occur within the same species. Branches and leaves are oriented roughly at right angles to the direction of most intense incident light.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nationaal Herbarium Nederland, Universiteit Leiden Branch, P.O. Box 9514, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

Cite as: J.D.Kruijer, Australian Mosses Online. 54. Hypopterygiaceae. http://www.anbg.gov.au/abrs/Mosses\_online/Hypopterygiaceae.pdf (2012)

## References

Brotherus, V.F. (1925), Hypopterygiaceae, Nat. Pflanzenfam., 2nd edn, 11: 270-278.

Buck, W.R. (1987), Taxonomic and nomenclatural arrangement in the Hookeriales with special notes on West Indian taxa, *Brittonia* 39: 210–224.

Buck, W.R. (1988), Another view of familial delimitation in the Hookeriales, *J. Hattori Bot. Lab.* 64: 29–36.

Crosby, M.R. (1974), Toward a revised classification of the Hookeriaceae (Musci), J. Hattori Bot. Lab. 38: 129–141.

Dixon, H.N. (1927), Hypopterygiaceae, in Studies in the bryology of New Zealand, Bull. New Zealand Inst. 3(5): 239–298.

Fleischer, M. (1908), Hypopterygiaceae, Musc. Buitenzorg 3: 1064-1097.

Kindberg, N.C. (1898), Studien über die Systematik der pleurokarpischen Laubmoose, *Bot. Centralbl.* 76: 84–87.

Kindberg, N.C. (1901), Grundzüge einer Monographie über die Laubmoos-Familie Hypopterygiaceae, *Hedwigia* 40: 275–303.

Kruijer, J.D. (2002), Hypopterygiaceae of the World, Blumea, Suppl. 13: 1-388.

Matteri, C.M. (1973), Revision de las Hypopterygiaceae (Musci) Austrosudamericanas, *Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot.* 15: 229–250.

Miller, H.A. (1971), An overview of the Hookeriales, *Phytologia* 21: 243–252.

Mitten, W. (1859), Musci Indiae Orientalis, J. Proc. Linn. Soc., Bot., Suppl. 1: 1-171.

Rodway, L. (1914), Lophidiaceae (sic), Pap. & Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasmania 1913: 234-239.

Sainsbury, G.O.K. (1955), A handbook of New Zealand mosses, *Bull. Roy. Soc. New Zealand* 5: 1–490.

Whittemore, A. & Allen, B. (1989), The systematic position of *Adelothecium* Mitt. and the familial classification of the Hookeriales (Musci), *Bryologist* 92: 261–271.

## Key to Genera